IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS MARSHALL DIVISION

POLARIS POWERLED TECHNOLOGIES, § LLC,

Civil Action No. 2:17-cv-00715-JRG

Plaintiff,

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., and SAMSUNG DISPLAY CO., LTD.,

v.

JURY TRIAL DEMANDED

Defendants.

JOINT NOTICE OF THE PARTIES' AGREEMENTS REGARDING MOTIONS IN LIMINE AND WITHDRAWAL OF CERTAIN SECTIONS OF DAUBERT AND MOTIONS TO STRIKE

Pursuant to the Court's Amended Docket Control Order (ECF 308), the parties have met and conferred on May 24 and 28, 2019 and have reached agreement on certain of the parties' motions *in limine* and have significantly reduced the quantity of objections to proposed trial exhibits. Defendants have also withdrawn certain invalidity defenses, mooting defendants' motion to exclude (Dkt. No. 202) and mooting two sections of plaintiff's Daubert and Motion to Strike (Dkt. No. 201) as set forth below.

The parties hereby file this Joint Notice to report the following to the Court in advance of the June 3, 2019 pretrial conference:

Plaintiff and Defendant Daubert and Motions to Strike

- 1. Defendants' motion to exclude certain opinions of Dr. Roberts (Dkt. 202) is *moot and* withdrawn based on Samsung withdrawing one of its invalidity defenses.
- 2. Sections E and F of Plaintiff's motion to exclude and strike certain opinions of Dr. Hobbs (Dkt. 201) are now *moot and withdrawn* based on Samsung withdrawing one of its invalidity defenses.

Plaintiff's Motions In Limine

- 1. Plaintiff's MIL 5. Plaintiff Polaris's disputed motion in limine 5 is withdrawn.
- 2. Plaintiff's MIL 6. Plaintiff's motion *in limine* number 6 is *agreed*. Specifically, Samsung agrees that it will not contend that the accused products lack the following claim limitation in claim 1 of the '117 patent: "a light sensor configured to sense ambient light and to output a sensing signal indicative of the ambient light level." This claim limitation is admitted by Samsung for all accused products.
- 3. <u>Plaintiff's MIL 7.</u> Plaintiff's motion *in limine* number 7 is *agreed*. Samsung agrees that it will not inform the jury as to whether Polaris previously may have asserted that Samsung

infringes patent claims of the '117 patent that were or may be dropped from the case. If Polaris drops its claim of infringement of additional patent claims during trial, Samsung reserves the right, however, to cross examine Polaris' infringement expert witness as to his opinions regarding such claims, but without informing the jury whether such claims were previously asserted and/or dropped from the case.

- 4. **Plaintiff's MIL 8**. Plaintiff Polaris's disputed motion *in limine* 8 is *withdrawn*.
- 5. Plaintiff's MIL 12. Plaintiff Polaris's disputed motion *in limine* 12 is *agreed*, and specifically Samsung will not offer to publish to the jury the deposition testimony of Samsung's own witnesses unless Samsung establishes and the Court orders that any such witness is unavailable. This MIL 12 does not prevent the parties from publishing counter-designated deposition testimony that are prior consistent statements if permitted under Fed. R. Evid. 801(d)(1)(B).
- 6. <u>Plaintiff's MIL 16</u>. Plaintiff Polaris's disputed motion *in limine* 16 is *agreed*, and Samsung will not attempt to inform the jury that Polaris' counsel allegedly admitted that Samsung's Galaxy S2, Samsung's J phones or Samsung's Tab A do not infringe the '117 patent.

Defendants' Motions In Limine

- 1. <u>Defendants' MIL 1</u>. Defendants' motion in limine 1 is withdrawn.
- 2. <u>Defendants' MIL 4.</u> Defendants' motion *in limine* 4 is *moot*. As Samsung agrees that it will not contend that the accused products lack the claim limitation "a light sensor configured to sense ambient light and to output a sensing signal indicative of the ambient light," (as set forth in Plaintiff's MIL 6), Polaris will not offer evidence of infringement under the doctrine of equivalents for this or any other claim limitation.
- 3. **Defendants' MIL 6.** Defendants' motion in limine 6 is agreed, however with respect to

defendants' MIL 6, the parties agree that raising an absence of proof, including documentation

to support such proof, is not precluded.

4. **Defendants' MIL 8.** Defendants' motion in limine 8 is agreed in part. The parties will not

analogize the burdens of proof applicable to the issues in this case to the standard applied in

family law cases to terminate parental rights or withdrawal of life support.

5. **<u>Defendants' MIL 10.</u>** Defendants' motion in limine 10 is moot. The parties have agreed to

plaintiff's <u>undisputed</u> MIL 2 with respect to commenting on a parties' senior executives not

attending trial as a corporate representative of the party. The parties further agree not to

comment on the failure to present live testimony, as opposed to deposition testimony, from

any Samsung witness residing in Korea.

6. **Defendants' MIL 12.** Defendants' motion in limine 12 is agreed in part. The parties agree to

not make references denigrating, disparaging, touting, or exalting unnecessarily on the

nationality or place of residence of a party or witness, but comment as to the nationality or

residency of the parties can be stated in opening and voire dire.

7. **Defendants' MIL 13.** Defendants' motion in limine 13 is agreed. The parties agree not to

reference U.S. Patent No. 6,841,947 (or any related patents) at trial.

Dated: May 29, 2019

By:/s/ Deron R. Dacus

Deron R. Dacus

State Bar No. 00790553

The Dacus Firm, P.C.

ddacus@dacusfirm.com

821 ESE Loop 323, Suite 430

Tyler, TX 75701

Telephone: (903) 705-7233

Facsimile: (903) 581-2543

Attorneys for Plaintiff Polaris PowerLED

Technologies, LLC

Of Counsel:

Robert F. Kramer

CA Bar No. 181706 (Admitted E.D. Texas)

Email: rkramer@feinday.com

M. Elizabeth Day

CA Bar No. 177125 (Admitted E.D. Texas)

Email: eday@feinday.com Russell S. Tonkovich

CA Bar No. 233280 (Admitted E.D. Texas)

Email: rtonkovich@feinday.com

Marc Belloli

CA Bar No. 244290 (pro hac vice) Email: mbelloli@feinday.com

Nick Martini

CA Bar No. 237687 (pro hac vice) Email: nmartini@feinday.com

Kate E. Hart

CA Bar No. 275121 (pro hac vice) Email: kate.hart@dentons.com

Aidan M. Brewster

CA Bar No. 319691 (pro hac vice) Email: abrewster@feinday.com

FEINBERG DAY KRAMER ALBERTI LIM TONKOVICH & BELLOLI LLP

1600 El Camino Real, Suite 280

Menlo Park, CA 94025 Telephone: (650) 618-4360 Facsimile: (650) 618-4368

By:/s/ Melissa R. Smith

Melissa R. Smith (melissa@gillamsmithlaw.com) Texas State Bar No. 24001351

GILLAM & SMITH, LLP 303 South Washington Avenue

Marshall, Texas 75670

Phone: (903) 934-8450 Fax: (903) 934-9257

Attorneys for Defendants Samsung Electronics America, Inc., Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., and Samsung Display Co., Ltd.

Of Counsel:

Leonard E. Davis (Idavis@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 1717 Main Street, Suite 5000 Dallas, TX 75201

Phone: (214) 747-5070 Fax: (214) 747-2091

George Pappas (gpappas@cov.com)

Ranganath Sudarshan (rsudarshan@cov.com)

Grant Johnson (gjohnson@cov.com)

Kevin Richards (krichards@cov.com)

Richard Rothman (rrothman@cov.com)

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

One CityCenter

850 Tenth Street, NW

Washington, DC 20001-4956

Phone: (202) 662-6000

Fax: (202) 662-6291

Robert T. Haslam (rhaslam@cov.com)

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

3000 El Camino Real

5 Palo Alto Square, 10th Floor

Palo Alto, CA 94306-2112

Phone: (650) 632-4700

Fax: (650) 632-4800

Philip A. Irwin (pirwin@cov.com)

Matthew B. Phelps (mphelps@cov.com)

Jennifer D. Cieluch (jcieluch@cov.com)

COVINGTON & BURLING LLP

620 Eighth Avenue

New York, New York 10018-1405

Phone: (212) 841-1178 Fax: (212) 841-1010

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

The undersigned hereby certifies that the foregoing document was filed electronically in compliance with Local Rule CV-5(a). As such, this document was served on all counsel who have consented to electronic service, on this 29th day of May 2019.

By: /s/ Deron R. Dacus

Deron R. Dacus